

Transportation & Land Use Meeting #2
November 3, 2006, 10:00-10:30 PM

Attendance

- **TWG Members**

Gina Campoli
Chuck Gallagher
Jim Saudade

- **VT DEC Staff**

Jeff Merrell

- **CCS Staff**

Lewison Lem
Will Schroeer

- **Public**

None

Introductions

- Will Schroeer conducted roll call.
- Will Schroeer reviewed the agenda and purpose of the call.

Review of draft Minutes from 10-16-6 meeting

- Gina Campoli suggested additional detail on VTrans' desire to contribute to the VMT and mobile source forecasts. Agreed to.
- Discussed the process for adding TWG members. Since call #1, VTrans had submitted several names that it believed would add expertise in land use; those names are under consideration.

Discussion of the Vermont Emissions Inventory & Forecast (I&F)

The TWG continued the discussion from Meeting #1.

- Will Schroeer and Jeff Merrell reviewed the current status of the I&F, including its current focus on some electricity issues. Transportation will be next.
- Gina Campoli of VTrans reiterated that agency's desire to contribute.

- TWG members again expressed interest in knowing how electricity would be handled given its impact on the relative size of transportation's importance in the I&F. Will Schroerer acknowledged the interest/concern. Schroerer also noted that the charge to the TWG was to develop and recommend to the Plenary Group T&LU policies that made sense for Vermont, given its unique circumstances and goals. The absolute amount of TLU emissions in the I&F is not affected by the electricity inventory, and so while the relative size of the sectoral contributions is not unimportant, it also should not have an immediate effect on the TLU TWG's actions.

Review and discussion of the Catalog of State Actions

The TWG continued the discussion from Meeting #1.

- TWG members reiterated concern about whether different TWG's different treatment of cost-benefit analysis / pros and cons might lead to inconsistent policy recommendations to the PG. Recommended that it be raised to the PG. Schroerer suggested that it was an issue for the Cross-cutting Issues TWG (CC), and said he would raise it both to CC and to the PG.

Public Input

- None